

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/558,589	04/26/2000	Amro A Younes	53921/79	1057
27155	7590 11/03/2005		EXAM	INER
MCCARTHY TETRAULT LLP BOX 48, SUITE 4700, 66WELLINGTON STREET WEST TORONTO, ON M5K 1E6			, PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· :			
Response to Rule 312 Communication		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/558,589	YOUNES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Justin M. Philpott	2665			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address –			
1. ⊠ The a) □	e amendment filed on <u>18 July 2005</u> under 37 CFR 1.3 entered.	312 has been considered, and	has been:			
b) 🔲	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.					
c) 🔲	c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.					
	Any amendment filed after the date the issue for and the required fee to withdraw the application		d by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)			
d) 🔲	disapproved. See explanation below.					
e) 🛚	entered in part. See explanation below.	•	· !			
The a	amendment to the claims (adding the words "and" and ed.	nd "the" in claims 25-29 and 31	for grammatical reasons) has been			
The a	amendment to the specification at page 14, line 18 (t	o include the word "to") has be	en entered.			
langu amen amen	ever, the amendment to the specification at page 3, Is age which is not clearly enabled by applicant's original adment to the specification "track[s] the claims as amount to the specification, in excess of five pages, count's originally filed specification. Accordingly, this pages.	nally filed specification. While nended", it is not readily appare does in fact directly correspond	applicant asserts that the ent to the Examiner that this I to applicant's allowed claims or			
			;			
			•			
			:			
			:			
			·			
			Alam v. rgs			
			ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER			